

LIMITED CROSSWALK

# Selected connections to NIST guidance

## AI Content Verification Toolkit for Small Publishers

Publisher: ArizonaAI.com | Project lead: Cenk Brown | Release 1.0.0

This document maps selected aspects of an editorial review toolkit to AI RMF 1.0 and the Generative AI Profile. It does not claim complete framework coverage, equivalence, compliance, adoption, or NIST endorsement.

**Read each row's limitation**

A template can support a task without proving that a publisher performs it. Source-side checks establish identifiers and source meaning only. The artifact evidence and its recorded review status must be considered separately.

| Scope label                             | What it means in this mapping                                                |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Practical support for a selected aspect | 14 rows identify a specific, limited task supported by the resource.         |
| Contextual connection                   | 2 rows explain a related principle without claiming the outcome is achieved. |
| Not covered                             | 2 rows identify boundaries for which no positive coverage is claimed.        |

## Source baseline

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework  
NIST AI 100-1, AI RMF 1.0, January 2023. Each row gives printed and one-based PDF page locators.

SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile  
NIST AI 600-1, Generative AI Profile, July 2024. Each selected action retains its exact identifier.

HTML mapping | Source register | Validation record

No mapping row is labeled pending in the supplied shared content. Each row retains its recorded review status; this statement is not a new NIST assessment.

This release has not been submitted to or accepted by NIST. Original instructional material uses CC BY 4.0; LICENSE-CONTENT.md defines its scope and exclusions. Third-party documents are not relicensed. The HTML mapping is the accessible reference; PDF bookmarks identify each row. No PDF/UA certification is claimed.

## GOVERN 1.5

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Plan continuing review, ownership, and review frequency.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 1 | Printed page 23; PDF page 28 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#monitoring](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The monitoring section instructs the publisher to choose a review date or event trigger, explain its timing and assign an owner. The review-schedule template records scope, owner and backup, review priority, selection method, actual findings and the next improvement.

**Boundary:** The adopting publisher must assign ownership and carry out reviews. Template availability is not an operational practice.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## GOVERN 2.1

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Document AI-risk responsibilities and communication routes.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 1 | Printed page 23; PDF page 28 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#human-review](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The human-review section distinguishes review responsibility, human publication authority, separate review arrangements and escalation. The editorial-roles template asks for an actual contact route, correction owner, backup and assignment-review trigger.

**Boundary:** A small-team responsibility template does not prove organization-wide assignment or communication.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

# MAP 1.1

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Document intended use, context, users, effects, and limitations.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 2 | Printed page 26; PDF page 31 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#review-context](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The review-context section asks for intended use, affected readers, possible consequences, current or historical timeframe and matters left unexamined. It requires these contextual choices before claim judgments.

**Boundary:** Only the selected publishing workflow is considered; broader lifecycle, organizational, legal, social, and environmental context is not comprehensively assessed.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

# MAP 3.5

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Define, assess, and document human oversight.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 2 | Printed page 27; PDF page 32 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#decision-rules](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The guide defines human responsibility, separate readiness and approval decisions, unresolved-issue gates and approval tied to the reviewed revision. Monitoring prompts ask whether assigned owners acted and whether the process missed consequential changes.

**Boundary:** Documenting a decision does not demonstrate that oversight is effective or that an organization has adopted the process.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

# MEASURE 1.1

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Prioritize risk measurement and document unmeasured risks.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 3 | Printed page 29; PDF page 34 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#metrics](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The metrics section starts with reader-relevant risks, defines four editorial measures and requires dates, denominators, selection rules, exclusions and measurement gaps. The measurement template records priority, counting unit, procedure, unmeasured risks and resulting action while leaving results blank until measured.

**Boundary:** Provides editorial-risk measure definitions and a blank selection and measurement-gap record. The adopter must choose appropriate measures and collect evidence. This is not a systematic assessment of all AI risks or a measured effectiveness result.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

# MEASURE 2.1

**Scope:** Contextual connection

Document evaluation datasets, metrics, and tools.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 3 | Printed page 29; PDF page 34 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [methods.html#evidence-classes](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The methods page identifies the fictional corpus and software checks as separate evidence classes. The guide specifies documenting version, task, selected material, procedure, reviewer or participant type, observations, measures and limits. These are documentation examples, not AI-system TEVV datasets or results.

**Boundary:** A synthetic teaching corpus and worksheet software checks are not AI-system TEVV results or evidence of real-world AI accuracy.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MEASURE 2.5

**Scope:** Contextual connection

Demonstrate validity and reliability; document generalization limits.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 3 | Printed page 29; PDF page 34 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#evaluation](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The evaluation section separates fictional exercises, dated source illustrations, software behavior checks, agent review and human evaluation. It explicitly rejects deriving general model reliability or broad effectiveness from the examples; no system-validity or generalization result is supplied.

**Boundary:** The toolkit does not demonstrate model-wide validity, reliability, or generalization. It offers context for avoiding unsupported conclusions.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MEASURE 2.8

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Examine and record transparency and accountability risks.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 3 | Printed page 30; PDF page 35 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#transparency](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The transparency section asks whether readers could infer independent reporting, a current check or a broader review than occurred, and whether unclear ownership obstructs correction. It requires recording the risk and the attribution, date, limitation or contact information used to address it.

**Boundary:** The prompts examine selected editorial attribution, currentness, responsibility and disclosure risks. They do not establish a comprehensive assessment of AI-system transparency or accountability.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MANAGE 4.1

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Implement monitoring, feedback, incident response, recovery, and change processes.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 4 | Printed page 33; PDF page 38 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#monitoring](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The guide describes review triggers, an assigned owner, a publisher-monitored correction route and evaluation of overdue or missed checks. Its correction procedure covers containment, rechecking, related content, notification decisions and recording completed actions separately from plans.

**Boundary:** This is limited publishing support, not a complete deployed AI-system management program.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MANAGE 4.3

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Communicate incidents and document response and recovery.

SRC05 | Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework

NIST AI 100-1 | AI RMF 1.0 | Section 5; Table 4 | Printed page 33; PDF page 38 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#corrections](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The correction procedure and template record the affected content and version, evidence, action owner, containment, corrected wording, resolution check, related content, notification decision and actual completion location and time. They distinguish completed communication from a plan.

**Boundary:** The organization must determine affected parties, perform the actions, and document actual communication and recovery.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## GV-1.5-001

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Assign periodic provenance review and incident-monitoring responsibilities.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; GOVERN 1.5 | Printed page 16; PDF page 20 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#monitoring](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The source-evidence section records publishers, evidence locations, access limits and shared source origins. The monitoring section and review-schedule template assign owners for source changes, reader reports and unresolved issues, with review triggers and recorded findings.

**Boundary:** A schedule and owner field provide a template; actual organizational follow-through is unproven.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## GV-1.5-003

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Maintain retention policy for evaluation and content-transparency history.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; GOVERN 1.5 | Printed page 16; PDF page 20 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#retention](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The retention section and policy template cover an owner, record categories including evaluation records, period or disposal trigger, rationale, permitted evidence, storage relationships, authorized access, disposal decisions and policy review. No universal retention duration is asserted.

**Boundary:** An export alone is not a retention policy. Retention duration must be selected by the adopting organization; the toolkit prescribes no universal NIST period.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MS-2.5-001

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Avoid broad capability claims from narrow or anecdotal assessments.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MEASURE 2.5 | Printed page 31; PDF page 35 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#evaluation](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The guide separates teaching examples, public-source checks, software behavior tests and agent review from actual human evaluation. It prohibits inferring detection rates, time savings, harm reduction or general model reliability from the examples and requires procedures, observations and limits to accompany evaluation claims.

**Boundary:** No general effectiveness, harm-reduction, or AI accuracy claim follows from a small synthetic teaching set.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MS-2.5-003

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Verify output sources and citations before deployment and during monitoring.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MEASURE 2.5 | Printed page 31; PDF page 35 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#source-evidence](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The guide requires opening the source, matching identity and context, recording a precise locator and an actual check, and linking evidence to each consequential claim. Support, suitability and timeframe remain separate judgments; monitoring revisits changing sources and linked claims.

**Boundary:** The human reviewer supplies the judgments. Source presence does not prove support, suitability, accuracy, or present-day truth.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MS-2.8-003

**Scope:** Not covered

Maintain traceable content history using integrity-protecting transparency methods.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MEASURE 2.8 | Printed page 34; PDF page 38 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [methods.html#data-handling](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The methods page explicitly states that editable records, snapshots and release hashes do not authenticate a reviewer, prove an event time or make provenance tamper-proof. This limitation supports the Not covered classification; the toolkit supplies no protected provenance mechanism.

**Boundary:** No positive coverage. Editable workbooks or JSON and hashes alone do not supply the integrity guarantees described by this action.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MS-2.8-004

**Scope:** Not covered

Test whether GAI system user instructions are adequate.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MEASURE 2.8 | Printed page 34; PDF page 38 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [methods.html#validation](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The methods page explicitly distinguishes worksheet usability checks from testing the adequacy of a generative AI system's user instructions. No such GAI instruction test is reported. The guide likewise marks this action Not covered.

**Boundary:** No positive coverage. This release has not conducted relevant GAI-system instruction testing with users; an AI walkthrough is not human research.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MG-4.1-002

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Maintain and evaluate post-deployment GAI monitoring processes.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MANAGE 4.1 | Printed page 44; PDF page 48 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#monitoring](#)

**Artifact evidence:** Monitoring prompts examine whether source changes were found before readers relied on stale content, what was missed, how misses were discovered and whether owners acted. The review-schedule template records evidence for those assessments and proposed changes to timing, selection, sources or escalation.

**Boundary:** Support is limited to publishing-related confabulation checks. Actual monitoring effectiveness and broader CBRN or cyber risks are not assessed.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

## MG-4.3-002

**Scope:** Practical support for a selected aspect

Maintain procedures tracking errors, near misses, and negative impacts.

[SRC06 | Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile](#)

NIST AI 600-1 | Generative AI Profile | Section 3; MANAGE 4.3 | Printed page 45; PDF page 49 (one-based).

**Toolkit location:** [guide.html#corrections](#)

**Artifact evidence:** The correction procedure and issue template distinguish reported errors, errors caught before publication and observed negative impacts. They record affected material, evidence and uncertainty, owner, actions, resolution check and completion status without treating a draft error as proof of harm.

**Boundary:** A log does not prove response effectiveness or that a negative impact occurred. Actual actions and observed consequences require evidence.

**Recorded source check:** October 6, 2026 at 11:51:04 PM Arizona time; Identifier, locator, and paraphrase checked

**Recorded mapping review:** Source and artifact reviewed

---

To reuse this mapping, open the linked artifact and official passage. Recheck any changed document version, locator, artifact or scope. A connection to one selected aspect does not establish comprehensive implementation.